

LANCASTER BOARD OF SELECTMEN Regular Meeting Minutes Of February 3, 2020

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Stanley B. Starr, Jr. called the Regular Meeting of the Board of Selectmen to Order at 6:01 P.M. in the Nashaway Meeting Room, located in the Prescott Building, 701 Main Street, Lancaster, Massachusetts. Present were Selectmen Walter F. Sendrowski, Jay M. Moody, and Town Administrator Orlando Pacheco.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

6:00 P.M. Opportunity for public to address their concerns, make comment and offer suggestions on operations or programs, except personnel matters. Complaints or criticism directed at staff, volunteers, or other officials shall not be permitted.

Carol Jackson, Farnsworth Way, commented on the Class II License and Conditions procedure.

Greg Jackson, Farnsworth Way, commented on the Capital Commerce Center. (see attached)

III. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Review and take action on Special Meeting Minutes of January 13, 2020 Selectman Sendrowski moved to approve the Special Meeting Minutes of January 13, 2020. Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]

IV. SCHEDULED APPEARANCES & PUBLIC HEARINGS - NONE

V. BOARDS, COMMITEES AND DEPARTMENTS REPORTS - NONE

VI. TOWN ADMINISTRATOR REPORT

- 1) MMRHS Assessment-The Minuteman Regional HS Assessment for FY21 is \$2,282,443. The Town is currently sending 54 students making Lancaster the #3 participant in terms of overall enrollment. The previous year's assessment was \$2,085,501.
- 2) **OPEB Rate of Return-**The Town's year to date returns through 11/30/19 has been 13.94%. The Finance Committee will evaluate if any contribution will be feasible this year, and if so to what amount.

- 3) Q3 Municipal Aggregation Performance-The Town's residential customer base participating in the program saved \$36,699 in the 3rd Quarter of 2019 as compared to the National Grid "basic service" rate. Commercial and Industrial customers saw slight losses compared to the utility.
- 4) Green Communities-The Town is eligible to apply for another completive round of projects under the green communities program. We are currently looking at implementing some additional measures at the Police Station and Library.
- 5) Gas Spill-The soil test have come back favorable from the gas spill at the DPW Highway facility and the site will be closed out by DEP. Burke Oil, the Town's gas and diesel vendor covered all costs associated with the cleanup.
- 6) **Supplemental Chapter 90 Funds-**The Town has received an additional \$32,704 in Chapter 90 funds as a result of the supplemental appropriation approved by the Legislature.
- 7) NRSD District Agreement-The Town should anticipate receiving a request to add 1 additional member to the School Committee. Currently Lancaster and Stow have 3 members and Bolton has 2. Bolton is now eligible for a 3rd member. The School committee will send any warrant article language needed.

VII. ADMINISTRATION, BUDGET, AND POLICY

- 1. Approve the Warrant for Presidential Primaries to be held on March 3, 2020.

 Selectman Sendrowski moved to approve the Warrant for the Presidential Primaries to be held on March 3, 2020. Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]
- 2. Approve the Massachusetts Interlocal Insurance Association, Inc. (MIIA) Health Benefits Trust Agreement.

Selectman Sendrowski moved to approve the Massachusetts Interlocal Insurance Association, Inc. (MIIA) Health Benefits Trust Agreement. Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]

- 3. Preliminary Capital Plan Review
 - Town Administrator Pacheco presented the preliminary Capital Plan Review to the Board. (See attached).
- 4. Approval of Small Cell Location (1450 Lunenburg Road)
 Selectman Sendrowski moved to approve the Small Cell Location (1450 Lunenburg Road).
 Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]
- 5. Neck Road Housing Discussion
 - Town Administrator Pacheco updated the Board regarding the historic home on Neck Road. He noted that he and Developer John Cerubini were trying to come up with a plan that would be a win-win for the Town as well as the Developer. According to the Building Commissioner and the Architect, the home on the corner of Neck and Center Bridge Roads must be torn down.
 - Mr. Cerubini came before the Board explaining that he would like to replace the home with eight (8), two bedroom units, with garages. (see attached). Further, Mr. Cerubini stated the new properties would be aesthetic and much more appealing that it is currently. It was noted however

by Town Administrator Pacheco, that there are complications, citing there is only sewer for 2 units.

Selectman Sendrowski suggested that Mr. Cerubini go the abutters of that property regarding replacing the home with a development.

VIII. APPOINTMENTS AND RESIGNATIONS

Resignations

Zoning Board of Appeals – Associate Member Robert Baylis, effective immediately Selectman Sendrowski moved to regretfully accept the resignation of Robert Baylis. Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]

Appointments:

Zoning Board of Appeals (Continued from January 13, 2020) to consider appointments for Associate Members positions for terms to expire June 30, 2020 and June 30, 2023.

On the recommendations from the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Selectmen appointed the following:

Selectman Sendrowski moved to appoint Ryan Aldrich to the Zoning Board of Appeals, term to expire June 30, 2023. Chairman Starr Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Nay and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [2-1-0]

Selectman Sendrowski moved to appoint Robert Alix to the Zoning Board of Appeals, term to expire June 30, 2020. Selectman Moody Seconded. Walter F. Sendrowski vote Aye, Jay M. Moody vote Aye and Stanley B. Starr, Jr. vote Aye. [3-0-0]

IX. LICENSES AND PERMITS - NONE

X. NEW BUSINESS *

*This item is included to acknowledge that there may be matters not reasonable anticipated by the Chair.

Selectman Moody inquired if the term "Board of Selectmen" for the Town of Lancaster can be changed to "Selectboard". Town Administrator Pacheco will check with Town Counsel, if that needs to be put on the Annual Town Meeting Warrant.

XI. COMMUNICATIONS

XII. ADJOURNMENT

Seeing no further business, on Motion by Selectman Sendrowski, seconded by Selectman Moody, it was unanimously voted. The Board of Selectmen adjourned at 6:10 P.M.

Respectfully submitted

Kathleen Rocco Executive Assistant

Walter F. Sendrowski, Clerk

Approved and accepted: 2/18/2020



Capital Commerce Center – 02/03/20 Comments to BOS:

- I would like to provide some comments regarding the Capital Commerce Center development recently proposed in North Lancaster. The Planning Board denied the special permit for the Master Plan last week. I think they made the right decision, but I was disappointed with this result.
- We were told the Capital Group were "Good Guys" and that they only worked on "Good Projects".
 They would surely propose something beneficial for Lancaster. They wouldn't put their name on anything less. But after 5 months of public hearings and 7 months of state environmental reviews, we were going to get an 80-acre used car lot and a 1,000,000 sq. ft. distribution center -- the centerpieces of a 350-acre industrial park.
- People who visited the Capital Group's recent project in Maynard had been favorably impressed
 with their work, so we were optimistic about the prospects for North Lancaster. But we were
 wrong. After months of feedback from the Planning Board and residents, little changed in the
 Master Plan. They had their preferred plan and if we didn't like that, they threatened a worse one.
- We were fortunate that there were members of the Planning Board who stood up for our town's zoning rules and wetlands protection regulations, and denied this ill-conceived project.
- During public comment, many residents expressed concerns about adverse effects on nearby wells
 and ground water quality, damage to local surface waters, impact on the surrounding environment
 and degradation of adjacent conservation land, aggravation of existing traffic problems on Route 70,
 and negative effects on nearby property values.
- In spite of feedback from the Planning Board, residents, and multiple state agencies, the Capital Group made few compromises and offered little benefit other than what was required by the IPOD bylaw. Where they disagreed with the town's zoning rules, they attempted to change them.
- The Master Plan discussed last week was essentially the same one presented to the Select Board and the Planning Board last September with the exception being the elimination of the residential neighborhood that they did not want to build. The plan did not really evolve.
- The benefits that the Capital Group offered to the town were largely exaggerated:
 - They projected \$3.5M in tax revenues, but never mentioned how much that was going to cost. Their assessment of the 80-acre car lot's value increased without explanation and they padded their total with residents' auto excise taxes (this revenue is already largely committed).
 - The water supply and sewer treatment facilities they proposed would only serve the needs of their development.
 - The conservation set asides they were required to provide consisted largely of land already owned by the Town of Lancaster. (They offered to give us our own land).
 - They claimed they would comply with state and local wetlands regulations but numerous buildings, parking lots, drainage structures, roads, and other construction remained in the 100foot wetlands buffer and/or the 200-foot riverfront buffer.
 - Mass DCR requested a 100-foot buffer from conservation land that was never recognized or implemented by the site plan.
 - The 100-foot buffer for the White Pond neighborhood that they proposed was the minimum required by the IPOD regulation.

- They never considered scaling back the size of the auto-sales operation or the massive distribution center to relieve any of the problems they would create. (eg: storm-water).
- They gave no indication that they had incorporated any of the many environmental impact, renewable energy, or climate change suggestions provided by state agencies.
- They could not tell us who would occupy any of their proposed industrial and retail buildings.
- Although they hosted a site visit, it appears they only held one other meeting with residents that
 was limited to participants from the White Pond neighborhood. (In Maynard, they held over 50
 meetings with the community).

This was all very disappointing and frustrating.

- Even though the Capital Group left the Planning Board meeting abruptly last week, they promised to return with a worse project. They are going to seek to do what they want to do with little benefit to Lancaster unless they are effectively challenged.
- The town needs to be prepared to negotiate a better deal. There are at least three things that would be beneficial towards this effort:
 - Insisting that any master plan and its associated site plan meets town zoning rules and wetlands protection regulations.
 - Forming a working group composed of town board members and concerned residents to represent the town's interests in negotiations with the developer.
 - Obtaining an independent, financial analysis of any proposal that includes a tax revenue cost benefit analysis, so we can properly evaluate the actual net gain the town will receive.

I would like to see the Select Board support efforts to pursue these objectives and seek a better result for Lancaster in any future discussions.

Thank You.

Greg Jackson





Capital Improvement Plan Five Year Capital Submissions Preliminary Reccommendations FY2021 - FY2026

- FY2026					
2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Year Reques
46,200 10,000		48,600	49,800	51,000	243,00 10,00
0 56,20	0 47,400	48,600	49,800	51,000	253,00
160,000		24,000			160,00 24,00 40,00
160,000	0	24,000	40,000	0	
0 (200,000	0	0	0	200,00
0 216,200	47,400	72,600	89.800	51,000	
0 2 18,000 55,125					51,250 32,922
0	? (MSBA)				55,129 59,430
73,125	The second second	0	0	0	198.72
	13,500 30,000		46,500	13,500	40,500 30,000 46,500
0	43,500	0	46,500	13,500	103,500
187,500 100,000 100,000	100,000	177,500	100,000	170,000	177,500 0 170,000 187,500
210,000	168,300		179,600	- 1	557,900
597,500	268,300	277,500	279,600	170,000	1,592,900
597,500	311,800	277,500	326,100	183,500	1,696,400
94,000 671,000	00.000	63,750 30,000	50,000		0.00 0.00 158,000.00 63,750.00 767,330.00 30,000.00
110,330	60,000	00 774			60,000.00
10,000	10,000	93,750	50,000	40.000	1,079,080
10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	60,000
10,000	10,000	25,000		10,000	60,000
25,000		20,000	25,000	25,000	75,000
10,000	10,000				50,000 30,000 0
					ŏ
			25,000	25,000	155,000 3,666,207
	35,000 1,042,155	35,000 10,000 1,042,155 457,200	35,000 10,000 25,000 1,042,155 457,200 478,850	35,000 10,000 25,000 25,000 1,042,155 457,200 478,850 500,900	35,000 10,000 25,000 25,000 25,000 1,042,155 457,200 478,850 500,900 269,500

Town of Lancaster

3,012,537

Capital Improvement Plan

Ten Year Captial Submissions Preliminary Reccommendations FY2017 - FY2027

Title	Code	Cat							Year Request
New Holland Backhoe	P	1							
3/4 Ton Utility Truck	P	1			- 1	- 1	- 1		
1 Ton Dump with PLOW	R	1		- 1	- 1				Ö
Sullivan Air Compressor	P	1				- 1			0
1 Ton Utility with PLOW	Р	1							0
Nater Enterprise	1418		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Water Enterprise		Maria No.							
			U	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total General Fund	1010					100	COLUMN TO SERVICE	269,500	

Total Submissions	269,500
Fotal Recommended	168,300 150,000 179,600 170,000

Codes

D = Recommendation is deferred or on hold pending other action

I = Project submission is incomplete or waiting additional information

M = Funding recommendation is a modification of the submission

N = New submission for this CIP

P = Project request has appeard in previous CIP's

R = Request is a regularly occurring capital expense

X = Submitted request is outside the timeframe for this CIP

Categories

1 = Equipment or Technology

2 = Building or Facility

3 = Infrastructure

4 = Extraordinary Capital Item

FUNDING SOURCE FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25

Transferred From Capital Stabilization Raise & Appropriate From Sale of Town Owned Land Cemetery Expendable Interest Retained Earnings Water Water Borrowing Finance Committee Trans Cemetery Chapter 90 Totals Funded by Fiscal Years

 359,800
 375,000
 168,300
 150,000
 179,600
 170,000

 359,800
 0
 168,300
 150,000
 179,600
 170,000