

Town of Lancaster Board of Appeals Lancaster, Massachusetts 01523

Memorandum

- To: Jeanne Rich, Chair Board of Appeals
- From: Michael Antonellis, Director of Community Development & Planning
- CC: Board of Appeals Members

Date: revised April 19, 2019

Re: Definitive Site Plan: 0 Sterling Road

Application - Comprehensive Permit

Petitioner: Crescent Builders, Inc.

Address: 0 Sterling Road (MBL 041.0-0000-0034.A)

Scheduled Meeting Date: February 28, 2019

Project Summary

The applicant seeks to construct two, connected, multi family structures with a total of 96 units. The proposed multi-family, apartment, buildings are 3-4 stories tall with a total of 196 parking spaces. The petitioner also proposes 56 single family detached homes. The project will be served by 3 separate roads. The applicant has applied under the Comprehensive Permit, 40B, provision to allow all approvals through the Board of Appeals.

Update:

- Since the last meeting the applicant has met with staff during a working session and has shown a reduction in the height and stories without compromising density of the proposed multi-family. The applicant has also proposed a boulevard-style driveway serving the apartment units. The applicant has also altered their parking lot somewhat, instead proposing to immediately construct the proposed future lot and eliminating the other portion of the lot closer to Bestway.
- VAI (traffic peer review) has provided an updated peer review memo which details the changes that have been made since the last correspondence.
- A balloon test was performed April 12, 2019. Staff, Michael Antonellis, performed a site visit on the surrounding roads of Clinton Road, Sterling Road and Deershorn Roads and could not see the balloons from the street. The applicant has provided pictures of the balloons in the field which show the balloons were within the tree-line just below the canopy, suggesting that it would be difficult for any observer to see the balloons from the public way.
- CEI has completed the Environmental Analysis Peer Review and has indicated that vernal pool determination is inconclusive. The suggested course is for the board to empower CEI to contact NHESP for official determination of vernal pool status.

Project Location

Aerial Photo

Мар



Property Photos



<u>Project Comparison Analysis – For Single Family Lots</u> As requested by the board 2/28/19

Jones Crossing

Area (squa	ore feet)	Frontage (feet)		
Average	9,446.47	Average	45.24	
Median	6624.50	Median	43.58	
Mode	N/A	Mode	30.00	
Minimum	5056.00	Minimum	25.00	
Maximum	4,6274.00	Maximum	103.12	
No. of lots	32.00	No. of lots	32.00	
Eagle Ridge				
Average	26,240.77	Average	113.71	
Standard Error	1,024.87	Standard Error	4.89	
Median	20,833.00	Median	100.00	
Mode	20,000.00	Mode	100.00	
Minimum	14,000.00	Minimum	70.00	
Maximum	86,289.00	Maximum	564.37	
No. of lots	131.00	No. of lots	131.00	
Goodridge Estates				
Average	10,213.63	Average	88.18	
Median	10,098.50	Median	80.00	
Mode	8,400.00	Mode	80.00	
Minimum	8,040.00	Minimum	50.00	
Maximum	19994.00	Maximum	186.39	
No. of lots	56.00	No. of lots	56.00	

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends addressing the submitted list of requested waivers from the applicant as well as the noted waivers within the Engineering Review from HEI before rendering a decision.

If approved, staff recommends the following conditions of approval: *(The board may choose to modify, remove, or add conditions)*

- 1. Provide a six (6) copies of revised plans to the Department of Community Development and Planning prior to the issuance of a Building Permit showing the following:
 - a. Show type and size of water services to the south multi-family building;
 - b. Showing secondary emergency vehicle access to both the Multi-family apartment site as well as to Road A within the Single Family subdivision.
- 2. All plantings shall meet the required sight distance triangle a described in the Zoning Bylaws.
- 3. All necessary approvals shall be obtained from the Water Division.
- 4. All necessary approvals shall be obtained from the Sewer Commission.
- 5. Provide six (6) copies of a to-scale rendering with external materials noted.
 - a. Revise 3-D rendering to show correct location of playground off of Sterling Road.
- 6. That all snow is stored in designated snow storage areas as shown on the approved plan and that any excess snow is trucked off site.
- 7. Please comment on the total number of apartment units proposed. Please comment on the size of the units and how many units are proposed in each building.
- 8. The project shall adhere to all laws and regulations applicable to noise and dust control including but not limited to regulations noted in 310 CMR 7.00.
- That storage, public, or other non-dwelling, space explicitly excluded from dwelling units as noted on the submitted renderings and elevations not be converted to additional dwelling units within the apartment buildings at any point without approval from the Board of Appeals.
- 10. Amendments to the approval will be required should changes be made to the approved plan regarding;
 - a. Substantial deviation found in As-Built Site Plan in comparison to the approved plans including but not limited to;
 - i. Dimensions of buildings;
 - ii. Height of buildings;
 - iii. Building setbacks;
 - iv. Substantial projections not protected by the zoning bylaw;
 - v. Substantial change to the landscaping plan;
 - vi. Number of parking spaces;
 - vii. Size and location of parking spaces;
 - viii. Alteration of proposed sidewalks;
 - ix. Change in location or size in the proposed recreation areas;

- b. Increase in number of units;
- c. Any other changes made in the field that the Building Commissioner / Zoning Enforcement Officer determines to be a substantial deviation from the approved plan;
- 11. Provided that the project is constructed in substantial accordance with all final revised plans on file with the Town of Lancaster and in accordance with all applicable governmental codes.

Waivers Requested

1. Refer to Engineering Letter dated April 17, 2019 by HEI, and list of waivers requested by the applicant.

Prior Approvals

 There is a previously approved Comprehensive Permit for this property. The approval was for single-family style homes only. There was no apartment style buildings with that approval.

Site Plan Requirements Checklist

The Planning Board shall review the materials submitted for completeness relative to the following plan requirements found in the Lancaster Zoning Bylaws Article X.

Requirement	Yes	No	N/A	Notes	
Site Plan Review Section 220-34					
Plan drawn accurately and to scale	Х				
Boundary lines	Х				
Adjacent streets or ways	Х				
Walkways	Х				
Principal drives or service entries	Х				
Parking	Х			The applicant has stated that per experience with similar construction, 2 spaces per dwelling unit is more than adequate	
Landscaping	Х			The applicant has provided a landscape plan to the board.	
Screening	Х				
Recreation areas	Х				
Utilities	Х			Defer to Engineering.	
Storm drainage	Х			Defer to Engineering	
Projects creating more than 35,000 Cubic Feet of building volume or more than 25 parking spaces (Sec 220-34(D))					
Registered Architect	Х				
Landscape architect		Х		Applicant should request waiver from this provision	
Professional engineer	Х				

Review of Design Guidelines (Sec 220-35)

The following standards shall be used by the Planning Board / Board of Appeals in reviewing all applications for site plan review.

1. Provide adequate access to each structure for Fire and service equipment;

Defer to Fire Department comments.

2. Adequate provision for utilities;

Defer to Engineering comments.

3. Adequate provision for stormwater;

Defer to Engineering comments.

4. Adequate provision for drainage pursuant to Subdivision regulations (Sec 301);

The applicant has proposed 2 detention basins to serve the 3 proposed apartment buildings and 4 separate drainage basins to serve the remainder of the proposed house lots. The board should defer to Engineering comments regarding the adequacy and capacity of these basins.

5. Minimize the volume of cut and fill, the number of removed trees six-inch-trunk diameter and larger, the area of wetland vegetation displaced, the extent of stormwater flow increase from the site, soil erosion, and threat of air or water pollution;

The applicant should comment on how they intend to preserve natural features on the site.

6. Maximize pedestrian or vehicular safety and convenience within the site and egressing from it;

Has the applicant considered providing sidewalks that would connect all proposed roads "A," "B," & "C"? Staff suggests providing connecting sidewalks that would link the different portions of the development out through Sterling Road. The applicant will need to obtain all necessary DPW approvals and permits.

Please comment on ability to provide improvements to nearby intersections.

7. Minimize obstruction of water views; minimize the visibility of parking, storage, or other outdoor service areas viewed from public ways or premises residentially used or zoned; and minimize glare from headlights or area lighting;

No comments from staff.

8. Assure that the design and location of structures on the site avoid damage to or incompatibility with historical and archeological resources, such as antique buildings and structures, barns, stonewalls, earthworks and graves;

Please comment on the maintenance of existing natural features that may exist on the lot.

9. Primary wall and roof surfaces appear similar to the materials commonly found on existing buildings within the Town;

The applicant has provided a breakdown of exterior materials. No comment.

10. Major dimensions of the building are approximately parallel or perpendicular to one or more nearby streets, if within 100 feet of such street;

No comments from staff.

11. The building is not made in effect a sign through painting with bold colors or other graphics devices, or through otherwise unnecessary use of unconventional building form;

No comments from staff.

12. There is some element of consistency with any buildings on abutting premises if facing the same street, such as eave height, wall materials, or window proportions;

See staff comments above in item #10.

13. If the building exceeds 35,000 cubic feet and contains at least twice the cubage of a principal building on any abutting lot, the building design uses breaks in massing, roof planes, wall planes, and other means to reduce the apparent difference in scale;

See staff comment above in item #9

Requirement	Yes	No	N/A	Notes
4 Full sized copies and 1 reduced	Х			
Street plans and profiles of streets	Х			
Topography	Х			
Annual high water mark		Х		Please provide or request waiver.
Location of tree cover	Х			
Outstanding individual trees	Х			
Existing structures (fences,	Х			
walls, etc)				
Proposed streets	Х			
Drainage facilities	Х			Defer to Engineering
Lot lines	Х			
A drainage plan which	Х			
includes a description of the				
effects of a 2 year storm, 25				
year storm, 50 year storm				
and a 100 year storm				
Show Natural Resource			Х	Applicant to tie into sewer.
Conservation Service				
(NRCS) suitability for onsite				
sewerage				

Review of Subdivision Definitive Plan requirements (Section 301-8)

Requirement	Yes	No	N/A	Notes		
	162	NU	X			
Test pit logs for locations approved by the Planning Board				Applicant to tie into sewer.		
If public water is not proposed, indicate why and provide supplemental information			Х	Proposed connection to public water.		
Erosion control plan	Х			Defer to Engineering		
Locus Plan	Х					
Environmental Analysis		Х		Board to determine if required. Applicant has requested a waiver.		
Construction details and specifications	Х					
	Section 301-8b – Plan Contents					
Subdivision name, boundaries, north point, legend, date, scale and zoning districts	X					
Name and address of owner of record, subdivider, registered engineer, and registered surveyor with tamps, names and other professionals engaged in design	Х					
Existing and proposed lines of streets, ways, lots, public or common areas.	Х					
Sufficient information to determine location on the ground of all proposed improvements	Х					
Location of all proposed monuments	Х			Defer to Engineering		
Lot numbers, lot area, lot frontage	Х					
Location, widths and names of streets within proximity	Х					
Board Signature block	Х					
Certification of compliance and Attorneys verification of applicant and owners	Х					
Street Plans; profiles, stationing, etc	Х			Defer to Engineering		

Additional Questions / Comments to the Applicant

The board may use these comments at their discretion. If the board feels these have been answered to their satisfaction at a previous hearing then please move to a different question.

- 1. Please confirm the dimensions of the proposed multifamily structures, as certain discrepancies are shown within the proposed floor plans.
- 2. Will stairs be external or internal for the multifamily structures?
- 3. Confirm the number of stories and number of units within each apartment building as the elevations submitted.
- 4. Please comment on the project's conceptual design and the applicant's opinion as to how it fits the general neighborhood and existing housing stock. Specifically regarding the size of the proposed multi-family buildings.
- 5. Has the applicant considered proposing any traffic calming techniques within any of the proposed roads, given that some portions are fairly long and straight? Please comment.
- 6. On Sheet 3 of 27 there is an "isolated wetland" noted approximately 60+ ft from the property line fronting Sterling Road. However, the proposed development shows construction of "Road A" which appears to overlap with the location of the isolated wetland. Will the applicant be replicating wetlands elsewhere on site? The applicant has received a letter from the Army Corps of Engineers describing the required permitting process regarding isolated wetlands.
- 7. The applicant should consider providing some sort of alternate emergency access on the sites. See conditions of approval.
- 8. The applicant will require a waiver from the 200 ft riverfront area regarding the intermittent stream.

List of Exhibits:

- Exhibit A: Site Development Plan of Land; prepared by GLM Engineering Consultants, Inc.; dated February 8, 2018; revised through February 8, 2018; with revised sheets 4-7 of 27, dated February 8, 2019
- Exhibit B: Application for Hearing to Lancaster Board of Appeals; Submitted by Crescent Builders, Inc. Date received March 27, 2018
- Exhibit C: Renderings; prepared by Shane Structures; dated December 13, 2018.
- Exhibit D: Correspondence to Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency; dated November 15, 2018; submitted by Paul Michaud, et al.
- Exhibit E: Correspondence to Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency; dated December 4, 2018; submitted by Town of Lancaster Office of the Board of Selectmen.
- Exhibit F: Eaton Light design specs.
- Exhibit G: Light Pole Foundation Base Drawing.
- Exhibit H: Review of Water Use Projection; prepared by Haley and Ward, Inc.; dated November 3, 2018.

- Exhibit I: Email containing presentation to the board refuting Review of Water Use Projection; dated December 2, 2018.
- Exhibit J: Response comment letter; submitted by Robert Traux of GLM Engineering Consultants Inc.; Date received November 27, 2018.
- Exhibit K: Response to Traffic Engineering Peer Review; submitted by William Scully, PE, of Green International Affiliates, Inc.; dated September 18, 2018
- Exhibit L: Engineering review comments; submitted by Fred Hamwey, Jr., P.E. of Hamwey Engineering Inc.; dated November 29, 2018.
- Exhibit M: Applicant response comments; dated November 6, 2018; received November 20, 2018; submitted by GLM Engineering Consultants, Inc.
- Exhibit N: Haley & Ward, Inc review of water demand and supply dated November 3, 2018; received November 6, 2018.
- Exhibit O: Letter from MassHousing dated received October 31, 2018.
- Exhibit P: Engineering review comments; submitted by Fred Hamwey, Jr., P.E. of Hamwey Engineering Inc.; dated October 8, 2018.
- Exhibit Q: Supplemental Evaluation of Traffic Impact Study submitted by Vanasse & Associates, Inc. dated 9/26/18.; revised April 15, 2019
- Exhibit R: Response to Evaluation of Traffic Impact Study submitted by Green International Affiliates, Inc.. dated 9/19/18.
- Exhibit S: Traffic Impact Study submitted by Green International Affiliates, Inc. dated May 2018.
- Exhibit T: Response comment letter; submitted by Robert Traux of GLM Engineering Consultants Inc.; Dated 9/4/18.
- Exhibit U: Development / Narrative Impact Statement; Goodridge Brook Estates.
- Exhibit V: Email from Scott Miller regarding water Use Projection; dated 8/8/18
- Exhibit W: Engineering review comments; submitted by Fred Hamwey, Jr., P.E. of Hamwey Engineering Inc.; dated August 3, 2018.
- Exhibit X: Goodridge Brook Estates; Informational Meeting materials; November 29, 2017.
- Exhibit Y: Design Philosophy; submitted by Jerome R. Dixon, Architect, AIA NCARB CSI, of Architect's Studio;
- Exhibit Z: Elevations & Rendering; submitted by Jerome R. Dixon, Architect, AIA NCARB CSI, of Architect's Studio; February 6, 2019.
- Exhibit AA: Environmental Analysis Report; Submitted by Goddard Consulting, Inc.; dated March 21, 2019.
- Exhibit AB: Letter from Army Corps of Engineers; dated April 4, 2019

- Exhibit AC: Goddard Consulting Letter with supplemental letter dated April 11, 2019.
- Exhibit AD: Extended Purchase and Sale Agreement dated April 8, 2019.
- Exhibit AE: Crescent Builders Response to Engineering comments in a letter dated November 27, 2019.
- Exhibit AF: Comprehensive Environmental Inc; Peer Review of Environmental Analysis; April 19, 2019

Legal Ad Publication Dates

Friday 4/6/18 & 4/13/18